

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



**PHARMACOLOGY BIOCHEMISTRY AND BEHAVIOR** 

Pharmacology, Biochemistry and Behavior 74 (2003) 505 – 512

www.elsevier.com/locate/pharmbiochembeh

# Evidence that the anorexia induced by lipopolysaccharide is mediated by the  $5-\text{HT}_{2C}$  receptor

Claudia von Meyenburg\*, Wolfgang Langhans, Brian J. Hrupka

Department of Physiology and Animal Husbandry, Institute of Animal Sciences, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Schorenstrasse 16, 8603 Schwerzenbach, Switzerland

Received 26 July 2002; received in revised form 4 October 2002; accepted 7 October 2002

#### Abstract

Rats consistently reduce their food intake following injections of bacterial lipopolysaccharides (LPS). Because inhibition of serotonergic  $(5-HT)$  activity by 8-OH-DPAT (5-HT<sub>1A</sub> activation) attenuates LPS-induced anorexia, we conducted a series of studies to examine whether other 5-HT-receptors are involved in the mediation of peripheral LPS-induced anorexia. In all experiments, rats were injected with LPS (100 mg/kg body weight [BW] ip) at lights out (hour 0). Antagonists were administered peripherally at hour 4, shortly after the onset of anorexia, which presumably follows the enhanced cytokine production after LPS. Food intake was then recorded during the subsequent 2 h or longer. 5-HT receptor antagonists cyanopindolol and SB 224289 (5-HT<sub>1B</sub>), ketanserin (5-HT<sub>2A</sub>), RS-102221 (5-HT<sub>2C</sub>), and metoclopramide (5-HT<sub>3</sub>) failed to attenuate LPS-induced anorexia. In contrast, both ritanserin (5-HT<sub>2A/C</sub>-receptor antagonist) (0.5 mg/kg BW) and SB 242084 (5- $HT_{2C}$ ) (0.3 mg/kg BW) attenuated LPS-induced anorexia at doses that did not alter food intake in non-LPS-treated rats (all  $P < 0.01$ ). Our results suggest that at least part of the anorexia following peripheral LPS administration is mediated through an enhanced 5-HT-ergic activity and the  $5-\text{HT}_{2C}$  receptor.

 $© 2002$  Elsevier Science Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Lipopolysaccharide; Anorexia; Food intake; Serotonin; SB 242084; Ritanserin

#### 1. Introduction

Animals respond to infectious pathogens or injury with a variety of immune, endocrine, metabolic, and behavior responses. These nonspecific symptoms that accompany a pathogenic infection are part of the host's defense reaction commonly called the 'acute phase response' (APR). The APR including its behavioral changes, such as the concomitant anorexia, are thought to be mediated by proinflammatory cytokines (e.g. interferon- $\gamma$  [IFN- $\gamma$ ], interleukin-1 $\beta$  [IL-1 $\beta$ ], tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  [TNF $\alpha$ ]). Lipopolysaccharides (LPS) are an integral component of the outer membrane of most gram-negative bacteria that are released during bacterial lysis or proliferation [\(Rietschel et al., 1994\).](#page-7-0) LPS stimulates immune cells to produce proinflammatory cytokines, and its exogenous administration is used as an animal model of acute gram-negative bacterial infection [\(Tilders et](#page-7-0) al., 1994).

LPS or cytokine administration is known to cause changes in the CNS concentrations of many neuropeptides and neurotransmitters including serotonin (5-HT). 5-HT has often been shown to inhibit feeding [\(Blundell, 1984\),](#page-6-0) and it may also do so during the APR because inhibiting 5-HTergic activity with the  $5-HT<sub>1A</sub>$  autoreceptor agonist 8hydroxy-2-(di-n-propylamino)tetraline (8-OH-DPAT) attenuated the anorexia induced by intraperitoneally injected LPS [\(Hrupka and Langhans, 2001\).](#page-7-0) However, it is not known if a specific postsynaptic 5-HT receptor mediates the anorectic response to LPS or proinflammatory cytokines.

Although fourteen 5-HT receptor subtypes have been identified [\(Hoyer et al., 1994\),](#page-7-0) current studies mainly implicate the 5-HT<sub>2C</sub> and/or the 5-HT<sub>1B</sub> receptors as mediators of the anorectic action of 5-HT. Agonists to these receptors reduce food intake [\(Clifton, 2000; Clifton et al.,](#page-6-0) 2000; Vickers et al., 2000) and the anorectic effect of Dfenfluramine is blocked or attenuated by  $5-HT_{1B}$  or  $5-HT_{2C}$ 

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +41-1-655-7329; fax: +41-1-655-7201.

E-mail address: claudia.vonmeyenburg@inw.agrl.ethz.ch (C. von Meyenburg).

receptor antagonists [\(Neill and Cooper, 1989; Vickers et al.,](#page-7-0) 2001) or in mice genetically deficient in these receptors [\(Lucas et al., 1998; Vickers et al., 1999\).](#page-7-0)  $5-\text{HT}_{2C}$  receptor knockout mice are also obese compared to wild-type mice [\(Tecott et al., 1995\).](#page-7-0)

Nonetheless, other 5-HT receptor subtypes have also been implicated in the control of feeding. For example, 5-  $HT<sub>2A</sub>$  receptor activation attenuates neuropeptide Y-stimulated feeding [\(Currie and Coscina, 1998\),](#page-7-0) which may be important for the APR because intracerebroventricular administration of neuropeptide Y attenuates IL-1 $\beta$ -induced anorexia [\(Sonti et al., 1996\).](#page-7-0) The  $5-\text{HT}_3$  receptor may also participate in the control of feeding under certain conditions such as during consumption of amino acid-imbalanced diets [\(Hrupka et al., 1991\).](#page-7-0)

Because of the potential role of the  $5-HT_{1B}$ ,  $5-HT_{2A}$ ,  $5-HT_{1B}$ ,  $5-HT_{2A}$ ,  $5-HT_{2B}$  $HT_{2C}$ , and 5-HT<sub>3</sub> receptors in the control of feeding, we employed pharmacological antagonists to examine the role of these receptors in LPS-induced anorexia. Our results suggest that the  $5-\text{HT}_{2C}$  receptor is the main  $5-\text{HT}_{2C}$ receptor involved in LPS-induced anorexia.

### 2. Methods

#### 2.1. Animals and housing

Male Sprague –Dawley rats were individually housed in stainless steel hanging wire cages with wire mesh bottoms. Founder rats from Charles River Germany were maintained as a breeding colony under specified pathogen-free conditions in our building in Schwerzenbach, Switzerland. Animal rooms were maintained at  $22 \pm 0.5$  °C on a 12:12 h light/dark cycle with lights out at 1000 h. Standard powdered laboratory chow (Nafag, Gossau, Switzerland) and water were available ad libitum. All procedures were approved by the Kanton of Zurich's Animal Use and Care Committee.

# 2.2. Injection protocol 1: dose-response and  $2\times 2$  factorial arrangement

Food cups were removed from the cages 1 h prior to lights out, filled with food and weighed. All rats received intraperitoneal injections (100  $\mu$ g/kg body weight [BW]) of LPS (from Escherichia coli, serotype 0111:B4, No. L-2630, Sigma) within 15 min prior to lights out. After the injections, food cups were returned to the cages and rats were left undisturbed until 3 h after lights out, at which time food intake was recorded and food access was denied. Food intake was measured as the difference in food cup weights after correcting for spillage. During  $0-3$  h, rats ate an average of 4 –4.5 g regardless of LPS treatment in all trials. This is because it requires some time for the LPS to stimulate cytokine production, and for the cytokines to trigger the anorectic response. Therefore, these feeding data

are not presented in the Results section. The antagonist being tested was prepared, and administered within 15 min before 4 h (a time shortly after the anorexia begins in LPSinjected in rats). Food intake was assessed from 4 to 6 h after LPS injection, and in some experiments also  $6-9$  h after LPS. This experimental paradigm was chosen to synchronize the time of action of the 5-HT antagonists with the onset of anorexia after LPS injection.

In all studies, rats were randomly assigned to treatments within blocks. In the dose-response studies, all rats were injected with LPS. One group of rats was then treated with vehicle and the other rats were treated with different doses of the particular drug. In studies using a  $2 \times 2$  factorial arrangement of LPS and drug, half the rats in each group (LPS vs. vehicle) received injections of either drug or vehicle.

# 2.3. Experiment 1: dose-response curve to cyanopindolol and SB 224289 in LPS-treated rats

We used  $4-(3-[1,1-dimethylethyl)$ amino]-2hydroxypropyl)1H-indole-2-carbonitrile, cyanopindolol (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA, Cat. No. C-238) and  $1'$ -methyl-5- $(2'$ methyl-4'-(5-methyl-1,2,4-oxadiazol-3-yl)-biphenyl-4yl]carbonyl)-2,3,6,7-tetrahydrospiro(furol[2,3-f]indole-3,4'piperidine), SB 224289 (Sigma, Cat. No. S-201) to test the role of the  $5-\text{HT}_{1B}$  receptors in LPS-induced anorexia. In the cyanopindolol trial, 28 rats  $(323 \pm 4 \text{ g BW}$  [mean  $\pm$  S.E.M.]) were used. Rats received subcutaneous injections of 0.3 or 0.6 mg/kg BW cyanopindolol dissolved in distilled water [\(Neill and Cooper, 1989\).](#page-7-0) SB 224289 was tested in 28 other rats ( $263 \pm 3$  g BW). They received intraperitoneal injections of 0.05, 0.5, or 5 mg/kg BW SB 224289 dissolved in distilled water with the aid of brief sonication and warming on a hot plate [\(Vickers et al., 2001\).](#page-7-0) In both trials, food intake was recorded as described above.

2.4. Experiment 2, Trial 1: dose-response curve to ritanserin in LPS-treated rats

To test whether LPS-induced anorexia is mediated through the 5-HT<sub>2</sub> receptors, we used the 5-HT<sub>2A/C</sub> receptor antagonist 6-(2-[4-(bis[4-fluorophenyl]methylene)-1-piperidinyl]ethyl)-7-methyl-5H-thiazolo(3,2-a)pyrimidin-5-one (ritanserin, Sigma, Cat. No. R-103).

Twenty-eight rats  $(477 \pm 7 \text{ g BW})$  received subcutaneous injections of 0.5, 1.5, or 3 mg/kg BW ritanserin [\(Grignaschi](#page-7-0) et al., 1993; Neill and Cooper, 1989) dissolved in 0.33 N acetic acid [\(Massi and Marini, 1987\).](#page-7-0)

# 2.5. Experiment 2, Trial 2:  $2 \times 2$  factorial arrangement with ritanserin and LPS

In this trial, 28 rats initially weighing  $231 \pm 4$  g were tested using a  $2 \times 2$  factorial arrangement of LPS and ritanserin (0.5 mg/kg BW) as described above. Five days

later, the trial was repeated with rats switched within main effects (treatment to vehicle/vehicle to treatment) so that no rat received LPS or ritanserin treatment twice.

# 2.6. Experiment 3: dose-response curve to ketanserin in LPS-treated rats

To determine whether ritanserin attenuated LPS-induced anorexia via blockade of the  $5-HT_{2A}$  or  $5-HT_{2C}$  receptor, we tested the ability of ketanserin, a  $5-\text{HT}_{2A}$ -specific receptor antagonist, to attenuate LPS-induced anorexia. Twenty-eight LPS-treated rats  $(209 \pm 7 \text{ g BW})$  were injected subcutaneously with 0, 0.02, 0.2, or 2 mg/kg BW 3-(2-[4-(4-fluorobenzoyl)-1-piperidinyl]ethyl-2,4(1H,3H)-quinazolinedione (ketanserin, Sigma, Cat. No. S-006) [\(Grignaschi et al.,](#page-7-0) 1993) dissolved in 0.9% saline [\(Hewson et al., 1988;](#page-7-0) Sugimoto et al., 1996).

## 2.7. Experiment 4: dose-response curve of RS-102221 in LPS-treated rats

Because ritanserin (5-HT<sub>2A/C</sub> receptor antagonist), but not ketanserin (5-HT2A receptor antagonist), attenuated LPS-induced anorexia, we tested the role of the  $5-HT_{2C}$ receptor in LPS-induced hypophagia using the  $5-HT_{2C}$ receptor antagonist, a benzenesulfonamide of 8-[5-(5 amino-2,4-dimethoxyphenyl) 5-oxopentyl]-1,3,8-triazaspiro[4.5]decane-2,4-dione) (RS-102221, TORICS Cookson, Avonmouth Bristol, UK). Twenty-eight rats initially weighing  $270 \pm 2$  g were used in this experiment. The 5-HT<sub>2C</sub> receptor antagonist RS-102221 was dissolved in 10% DMSO in distilled water and injected subcutaneously at a dose of either 0.02, 0.2, or 2 mg/kg BW [\(Bonhaus et al.,](#page-6-0) 1997).

# 2.8. Experiment 5, Trial 1: dose-response curve to SB 242084 in LPS-treated rats

To further examine the potential role of the  $5-\text{HT}_{2C}$ receptor in LPS-induced anorexia, we chose to test 1H-indole-1-carboxyamide,6-chloro-2,3-dihydro-5-methyl-N-[6-[(2-methyl-3-pyridinyl)oxyl]-3-pyridinyl]-; 6-chloro-5 methyl-1-[(2-[2-methylpyrid-3-yloxy]pyrid-5-yl)-carbamoyl]indoline (SB 242084, Sigma, Cat. No. S-8061), the first reported selective potent and brain penetrant  $5-HT_{2C}$  receptor antagonist [\(Kennett et al., 1997\).](#page-7-0) Twenty-eight rats  $(230 \pm 4 \text{ g})$ BW) were used in this trial. The  $5-\text{HT}_{2C}$  receptor antagonist SB 242084 was dissolved in 20% DMSO in distilled water, and injected intraperitoneally at a dose of either 0.03, 0.3, or 3 mg/kg BW SB 242084 [\(Kennett et al., 1997\).](#page-7-0)

# 2.9. Experiment 5, Trial 2:  $2 \times 2$  factorial arrangement with SB 242084 and LPS

In this trial, 36 rats initially weighing  $331 \pm 5$  g were tested in a  $2 \times 2$  factorial design with LPS and SB 242084 (0.3 mg/kg BW) as described, to investigate the ability of SB 242084 to attenuate LPS-induced anorexia.

# 2.10. Experiment 6:  $2 \times 2$  factorial design of metoclopramide and LPS

We also addressed the role of the  $5-HT_3$  receptor in LPSinduced anorexia using the  $5-\text{HT}_3$  receptor antagonist metoclopramide in a  $2 \times 2$  factorial design with LPS. Metoclopramide (Sigma, Cat. No. M-0763) was dissolved in saline and injected intraperitoneally at a dose of 2 mg/kg BW [\(Homesley et al., 1993\).](#page-7-0) Twenty-eight rats initially weighing  $384 \pm 8$  g were tested.

## 2.11. Statistical analysis

Results from dose – response trials were analyzed using General Linear Models (GLM) procedures appropriate for a one-way analysis of variance with blocking. When an overall significant ANOVA occurred, treatment means were compared using Duncan's multiple range test or orthoganal contrasts. Results from  $LPS \times Drug$  interaction trials were analyzed using GLM procedures appropriate for a  $2 \times 2$ factorial arrangement of LPS and drug in a randomized complete block design. Experiment 2, Trial 2 (Ritanser $in \times LPS$  trial) was conducted twice, with rats being switched within main effects between trials (treatment to vehicle/vehicle to treatment). In this manner, no rat received LPS or ritanserin treatment twice, so each rat's data for both trials were included in the analysis. In Experiment 5, Trial 2, nine rats per treatment were used. Because the doseresponse trials to ritanserin and SB 242084 both yielded marginally statistically significant results, the number of rats/treatment was increased in the subsequent Antagonists  $\times$  LPS factorial arrangement trials. In all experiments, blocks consisted of rats with similar body weights. Results are expressed as mean  $\pm$  S.E.M.

#### 3. Results

## 3.1. Experiment 1: dose-response curve to cyanopindolol and SB 224289 in LPS-treated rats

#### 3.1.1. Trial 1: cyanopindolol

Between 4 and 6 h after injection, rats that received only LPS and vehicle ate  $1.4 \pm 0.3$  g, whereas the same rats without LPS injection usually ate  $>3$  g during that time (data not shown). Subcutaneous administration of the  $5-HT_{1B}$ receptor antagonist cyanopindolol at 0.3 to 0.6 mg/kg did not alter food intake in LPS-treated rats  $[F(2,25) = 0.06,$  $P < .9$ , [Fig. 1\]](#page-3-0).

#### 3.1.2. Trial 2: SB 224289

Between 4 and 6 h after injection, rats that received only LPS and vehicle ate  $0.4 \pm 0.1$  g. Intraperitoneal injection of

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

Fig. 1. Food intake of LPS-injected rats in response to cyanopindolol. All rats were injected intraperitoneally with LPS (100 mg/kg BW) just before lights out (0 h). Within 15 min before 4 h, rats received cyanopindolol subcutaneously. Data are mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. food intakes of nine animals, each, the 2 h following cyanopindolol administration. Groups ate similar amounts of food during the 2 h following cyanopindolol administration regardless of dose  $(P < .9)$ .

the 5-HT<sub>1B</sub> receptor antagonist SB 224289 at 0.05, 0.5, or 5 mg/kg did not alter food intake in LPS-treated rats  $[F(3,23)=0.17, P<.9,$  Fig. 2]. The lower food intake of all rats compared to Trial 1 was presumably due to the animals' lower body weight in Trial 2 because the anorectic effect of LPS appears to decrease with increasing body weight [\(Langhans, 1996\).](#page-7-0)

# 3.2. Experiment 2, Trial 1: dose – response curve to ritanserin in LPS-treated rats

Ritanserin, administered at 0.5, 1.5, and 3 mg/kg BW, appeared to attenuate LPS-induced anorexia similarly



Fig. 2. Food intake of LPS-injected rats in response to SB 224289. All rats were injected intraperitoneally with LPS (100 µg/kg BW) just before lights out (0 h). Within 15 min before 4 h, rats received intraperitoneal injections of SB 224289. Data are mean ± S.E.M. food intakes of seven animals, each, for the 2 h following SB 224289 administration. Groups ate similar amounts of food during the 2 h following SB 224289 administration regardless of dose  $(P < .9)$ .



Fig. 3. Food intake of LPS-injected rats in response to ritanserin. All rats were injected intraperitoneally with LPS (100  $\mu$ g/kg BW) just before lights out (0 h). Within 15 min before 4 h, rats received subcutaneous injections of ritanserin. Data are mean ± S.E.M. food intakes of seven animals, each, for the 2 h following ritanserin administration. Although not statistically significant, all ritanserin groups ate consistently more food than controls  $(P<.07)$ .

regardless of dose. Although all rats that received ritanserin ate an average of 1.7 g more than rats that received only LPS and vehicle during the test period, the difference was only marginally significant [control vs. ritanserin,  $F(1,24) = 3.4$ ,  $P < .07$ , Fig. 3].

3.3. Experiment 2, Trial 2:  $2 \times 2$  factorial arrangement with ritanserin and LPS

LPS caused a significant reduction in food intake during 4-6 h after injection  $[F(1,58) = 15.4, P < .005, Fig. 4]$ . Ritanserin treatment almost completely blocked the LPS-



Fig. 4. Ritanserin specifically attenuates LPS-induced anorexia (LPS  $\times$ Ritanserin interaction:  $P < 0.01$ ), but does not increase food intake in non-LPS-treated rats. Rats were injected with 100  $\mu$ g/kg BW LPS (n=14) or saline just before lights out (0 h). At 4 h after LPS, half the rats in each group were injected with ritanserin (0.5 mg/kg) or vehicle. Data are means  $\pm$  S.E.M. food intakes of 14 rats for the 2 h following ritanserin administration.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

Fig. 5. Food intake of LPS-injected rats in response to ketanserin. All rats were injected intraperitoneally with LPS (100 µg/kg BW) just before lights out (0 h). Within 15 min before 4 h, rats received subcutaneous injections of ketanserin. Data are mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. food intakes of seven animals, each, for the 2 h following ketanserin administration. All groups ate similar amounts of food during the 2 h following ketanserin administration regardless of dose  $(P<.1)$ .

induced anorexia  $[F(1,58) = 6.33, P < .01,$  [Fig. 4\]](#page-3-0), but did not alter food intake in non-LPS-treated rats during the same period.

## 3.4. Experiment 3: dose-response curve to ketanserin in LPS-treated rats

Between 4 and 6 h after injection, rats that received only LPS and vehicle ate  $1.2 \pm 0.2$  g. Subcutaneous administration of the  $5-\text{HT}_{2\text{A}}$  receptor antagonist ketanserin at 0, 0.02, 0.2, or 2 mg/kg BW did not alter food intake in LPS-treated rats  $[F(3,24) = 2.09, P < 1, Fig. 5]$ .



Fig. 6. Food intake of LPS-injected rats in response to RS-102221. All rats were injected intraperitoneally with LPS (100 µg/kg BW) just before lights out (0 h). Within 15 min before 4 h, rats received subcutaneous injections of RS-102221. Data are mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. food intakes of seven animals, each, for the 2 h following RS-102221 administration. All groups ate similar amounts of food after RS-102221 regardless of dose  $(P < .4)$ .



Fig. 7. Food intake of LPS-injected rats in response to SB 242084. All rats were injected intraperitoneally with LPS (100 µg/kg BW) just before lights out (0 h). Within 15 min before 4 h, rats received intraperitoneal injections of SB 242084. Data are mean ± S.E.M. food intakes of nine animals, each, for the 2 h following SB 242084 administration. Rats injected with 0.3 and 3 mg/kg ate significantly more compared to controls from  $4-6$  h ( $P < .05$ ) as well as  $4-9$  h ( $P < .004$ ) after LPS.

# 3.5. Experiment 4: dose-response curve to RS-102221 in LPS-treated rats

Between 4 and 6 h after injection, rats that received only LPS and vehicle ate  $1.5 \pm 0.6$  g. Subcutaneous administration of the  $5-\text{HT}_{2C}$  receptor antagonist RS-102221 at 0, 0.02, 0.2, or 2 mg/kg did not alter food intake in LPS-treated rats  $[F(3,30) = 1.1, P < .4, Fig. 6]$ .



Fig. 8. SB 242084 specifically attenuates LPS-induced anorexia during 4 – 6 and  $4-9$  h after administration (\*LPS  $\times$  SB 242084 interaction, both  $P < .005$ ), but does not increase food intake in non-LPS-treated rats. Rats were injected with 100  $\mu$ g/kg BW LPS ( $n = 9$ ) or saline just before lights out (0 h). At 4 h after LPS, half the rats in each group were injected with SB 242084 (0.3 mg/kg) or vehicle. Data are mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. 24 h cumulative food intakes of nine animals, each.

# 3.6. Experiment 5, Trial 1: dose – response curve to SB 242084 in LPS-treated rats

Between 4 and 6 h after injection, rats that received only LPS and vehicle ate  $0.6 \pm 0.1$  g. Intraperitoneal administration of the 5-HT<sub>2C</sub> receptor antagonist SB 242084 at 0, 0.03, 0.3, or 3 mg/kg resulted in a marginally significant increase in food intake in LPS-treated rats  $[F(3,23)=2.9, P<.06,$ [Fig. 7\]](#page-4-0). Unlike 8-OH-DPAT, a  $5-HT<sub>1A</sub>$  receptor agonist, which only attenuated LPS-induced anorexia for 2 h postinjection, SB 242084 continued to enhance feeding up to 5 h after administration  $(4-9 h$  after LPS) in LPS-treated rats  $[F(3,22) = 2.9, P < .06, Fig. 7]$  $[F(3,22) = 2.9, P < .06, Fig. 7]$  especially at doses of 0.3 and 3 mg/kg (food intake was significantly greater than controls when compared directly). By 24 h after LPS administration, food intake in SB 242084 treated rats was still greater than in controls  $(4-6 \text{ g})$ , but not significantly.

## 3.7. Experiment 5, Trial 2:  $2 \times 2$  factorial arrangement with SB 242084 and LPS

LPS caused a significant reduction in food intake during 4–6 h after injection  $[F(1,32) = 29.43, P < .001, Fig. 8]$  $[F(1,32) = 29.43, P < .001, Fig. 8]$ . SB 242084 treatment attenuated the LPS-induced anorexia  $[F(1,32) = 9.66, P < .004, Fig. 8]$  $[F(1,32) = 9.66, P < .004, Fig. 8]$ , but did not alter food intake in non-LPS-treated rats during the same period. As in the dose – response trial, SB 242084 continued to attenuate LPS-induced anorexia in rats from 4 to 9 h  $\lceil F(1,31) = 10.85$ ,  $P < .003$ , [Fig. 8\]](#page-4-0).

3.8. Experiment 6:  $2 \times 2$  factorial arrangement with metoclopramide and LPS

LPS caused a significant reduction in food intake during 4–6 h after injection  $[F(1,24) = 25.94, P < .0001,$  Fig. 9].



Fig. 9. Metoclopramide does not attenuate LPS-induced anorexia  $(P < .6)$ . Rats were injected with 100  $\mu$ g/kg BW LPS (n = 7) or saline just before lights out (0 h). At 4 h after LPS, half the rats in each group were injected with metoclopramide (2 mg/kg) or vehicle. Data are means ± S.E.M. food intakes of seven rats for the 2 h following metoclopramide administration.

Metoclopramide did not attenuate the LPS-induced anorexia  $[F(1,24) = 0.25, P < .6, Fig. 9]$ . Also, it appeared to decrease food intake in non-LPS-treated rats, but this difference did not reach statistical significance.

## 4. Discussion

The present experiments were conducted to determine which 5-HT receptor subtype(s), may mediate the hypophagic response following intraperitoneal administration of LPS. In a previous study, we showed that the  $5-HT<sub>1A</sub>$ receptor agonist 8-OH-DPAT (= functional antagonist) attenuated intraperitoneal LPS-induced hypophagia [\(Hrupka](#page-7-0) and Langhans, 2001). Here, we report that 5-HT antagonism via the selective  $5-\text{HT}_{2C}$  receptor antagonist SB 242084 and the less specific 5-HT<sub>2A/C</sub> receptor antagonist ritanserin both attenuated LPS-induced anorexia in rats. These effects were observed during the dark phase, when the same doses of antagonists did not alter food intake in non-LPS-injected control rats. Our results indicate that the  $5-\text{HT}_{2C}$  receptor is involved in mediating LPS's feeding effects under pathophysiological conditions. This is consistent with other reports suggesting that the  $5-\text{HT}_{2C}$  receptor plays a significant role in food intake regulation under nonpathophysiological conditions [\(Kennett et al., 1997; Simansky, 1996;](#page-7-0) Tecott et al., 1995).

Doses of LPS or IL-1 $\beta$  higher than necessary to decrease feeding enhance CNS 5-HT levels and 5-HT turnover [\(Dunn, 1992\)](#page-7-0) in most brain areas. These changes can be widespread throughout the brain at ''high'' doses [\(Dunn,](#page-7-0) 1992). At low doses, however, LPS and cytokines can cause discrete changes in 5-HT metabolism in specific hypothalamic areas known to be involved in the control of feeding [\(MohanKumar et al., 1999; Yang et al., 1999\).](#page-7-0) The APR seems to be a condition in which an increase in the tone of the 5-HT system is important in controlling food intake. Because of the increase in CNS 5-HT activity during the APR, LPS-induced anorexia may mimic some of the effects of anorexia induced by the 5-HT releaser and uptake inhibitor D-fenfluramine. For example, pharmacological antagonism or gene knockout of the  $5-HT_{1B}$  [\(Grignaschi](#page-7-0) et al., 1993; Lucas et al., 1998; Neill and Cooper, 1989) or  $5-\text{HT}_{2C}$  [\(Clifton, 2000; Neill and Cooper, 1989; Tecott et](#page-6-0) al., 1995; Vickers et al., 2001) receptor is often, but not always [\(Lawton and Blundell, 1993; Vickers et al., 2001\),](#page-7-0) reported to attenuate or block D-fenfluramine-induced anorexia. Because several different experimental feeding paradigms (food restriction vs. palatable diet to induce eating) were used to evaluate D-fenfluramine-induced anorexia, it is difficult to generalize these findings to ad libitum chow feeding in rats, the condition under which we examined LPS-induced anorexia. The two conditions are probably different because, in contrast to the feeding suppressive effect of fenfluramine, only  $5-HT_{2C}$  antagonism and not 5- $HT_{1B}$  antagonism attenuated LPS-induced anorexia.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>While we observed that ritanserin and SB 242084 consistently attenuated LPS-induced anorexia in rats, [Swiergiel](#page-7-0) and Dunn (2000) reported that ritanserin did not attenuate IL-1 $\beta$ -induced anorexia during the light phase in mice fed a palatable diet. Peripheral LPS administration is known to stimulate immune cells to release cytokines such as IL-1 $\beta$ [\(Dinarello, 1988\),](#page-7-0) which may then increase serotoninergic (5-HT) activity [\(Dunn, 1992; MohanKumar et al., 1999\)](#page-7-0) and exert an inhibitory influence upon feeding. In an unpublished experiment, we injected mice with 150 ng IL-1 $\beta$  at lights out and ritanserin (2 mg/kg) immediately thereafter. Consistent with the report by [Swiergiel and Dunn \(2000\),](#page-7-0) we also observed that ritanserin did not attenuate  $IL-1\beta$ induced anorexia in chow-fed mice during the dark phase (data not shown). In contrast, we observed that ritanserin attenuated intracerebroventricular IL-1 $\beta$ -induced anorexia in chow-fed rats during the dark phase (von Meyenburg, unpublished data). Thus, we believe the inability of 5-  $HT_{2C}$  antagonism to attenuate IL-1 $\beta$ -induced anorexia in mice is likely due to a species difference rather than to a difference between the actions of LPS and IL-1 $\beta$  or in the experimental paradigms (dark vs. light phase, chow vs. sweetened milk). This possibility deserves to be critically examined.

In our hands, the selective  $5-\text{HT}_{2C}$  receptor antagonist SB 242084 attenuated LPS-induced anorexia, whereas RS-102221 did not. These results are consistent with reports showing that SB 242084, but not RS-102221, attenuates mCCP-induced (5-HT<sub>1B/2C</sub> receptor antagonist) hypolocomotion (Bonhaus et al., 1997; Kennett et al., 1997). Repetitive daily injections of RS-102221 (2 mg/kg BW) at light onset have also been reported to enhance food intake in rats (Bonhaus et al., 1997), but we did not observe a significant increase in food intake in control animals with a single injection of RS-102221 at the same dose (2 mg/kg BW) (data not shown). Further studies are necessary to determine whether this difference is related to the different experimental design and/or perhaps to a delayed uptake of RS-102221 across the blood – brain barrier (Bonhaus et al., 1998).

We previously observed that 8-OH-DPAT consistently attenuated LPS-induced anorexia during the 2-h postinjection [\(Hrupka and Langhans, 2001\).](#page-7-0) Yet, this initial attenuation by 8-OH-DPAT was compensated for by a more pronounced hypophagia between 2 and 4 h after injection, so that overall cumulative food intake after LPS was not altered by 8-OH-DPAT treatment. In the present studies, SB 242084 significantly attenuated LPS-induced anorexia for at least 5 h after administration (4-9 h after LPS, [Fig. 8\)](#page-4-0). SB 242084-treated LPS-injected rats ate, in fact,  $4-6$  g more than rats that received only LPS and vehicle injection by 24 h after injection. Thus, not only does  $5-\text{HT}_{2C}$  antagonism markedly and acutely attenuate LPS-induced anorexia, the effect appears to be lasting and does not dissipate within 24 h.

Previous studies suggest the involvement of the  $5-HT_{1B}$ receptor in food intake control [\(Grignaschi et al., 1995;](#page-7-0)

Kennett and Curzon, 1988; Kennett et al., 1987). In our studies, the 5-HT<sub>1A/1B</sub> [\(Grignaschi et al., 1995\)](#page-7-0) receptor antagonist cyanopindolol did not attenuate LPS-induced anorexia at 0.3 or 0.6 mg/kg. Although 0.3 mg/kg has been reported to attenuate D-fenfluramine-induced anorexia [\(Neill and Cooper, 1989\),](#page-7-0) often higher doses of cyanopindolol (3 or 8 mg/kg) were required to attenuate the food intake reduction induced by D-fenfluramine [\(Grignaschi et](#page-7-0) al., 1995) or  $5-HT_{1B}$  agonists (mCCP, TFMPP and Ru 24969 [\(Kennett et al., 1987\).](#page-7-0) The more selective  $5-HT_{1B}$ receptor antagonist, SB 224289, also failed to attenuate LPS-induced anorexia at doses of  $0.05-5$  mg/kg BW, although these doses have previously been shown to block  $5-\text{HT}_{1B}$  autoreceptor effects [\(Vickers et al., 2001\).](#page-7-0) Thus, 5-HT<sub>1B</sub> receptor appears to be not necessary for LPS-induced anorexia.

Although the 5-HT<sub>2A</sub> and 5-HT<sub>3</sub> receptors appear to be involved in the control of food intake under certain con-ditions (5-HT<sub>2A</sub>—[Grignaschi et al., 1996; Halford and](#page-7-0) Blundell, 2000; 5-HT3—[Hammer et al., 1990; Hrupka et](#page-7-0) al., 1991), we found no effect of either  $5-HT_{2A}$  receptor antagonism via ketanserin or  $5-HT<sub>3</sub>$  receptor antagonism by metoclopramide on LPS-induced anorexia. This suggests that these receptors are also not necessary for LPS-induced anorexia.

In our hands, antagonism of  $5-HT_{2C}$  receptors consistently attenuated LPS-induced anorexia by about 50%, suggesting that LPS-induced anorexia is mediated by other neurotransmitters or neuropeptides as well. In addition to increasing brain 5-HT levels, LPS has been reported to increase the concentration of dopamine [\(MohanKumar et](#page-7-0) al., 1999), GLP-1 [\(Rinaman, 1999\),](#page-7-0) aMSH (Catania et al., 1995) and peripheral hormones such as leptin [\(Faggioni et](#page-7-0) al., 1997), which are all implicated in the regulation of food intake in rats [\(Faggioni et al., 1997; Huang et al., 1999;](#page-7-0) Rinaman and Comer, 2000). As all these neurochemicals may also be involved in LPS-induced anorexia, a simultaneous blockade of several receptors may be necessary to completely prevent LPS-induced anorexia [\(Swiergiel and](#page-7-0) Dunn, 2000).

#### References

- Blundell JE. Serotonin and appetite. Neuropharmacology 1984;23:  $1537 - 51.$
- Bonhaus DW, Weinhardt KK, Taylor M, DeSouza A, McNeeley PM, Szczepanski K, et al. RS-102221: a novel high affinity and selective, 5-HT<sub>2C</sub> receptor antagonist. Neuropharmacology 1997;36:621-9.
- Bonhaus DW, Rocha CL, Dawson MW, Eglen RM. Absorption and brain penetration of a high affinity, highly selective  $5-HT_{2C}$  receptor antagonist, RS-102221. Ann NY Acad Sci 1998;861:269.
- Catania A, Suffredini AF, Lipton JM. Endotoxin causes release of  $\alpha$ -melanocyte-stimulating hormone in normal human subjects. Neuroimmunomodulation 1995;2:258 – 62.
- Clifton PG. Meal patterning in rodents: psychopharmacological and neuroanatomical studies. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2000;24:213 – 22.
- Clifton PG, Lee MD, Dourish CT. Similarities in the action of Ro 60-0175,

<span id="page-7-0"></span>a 5-HT2C receptor agonist and D-fenfluramine on feeding patterns in the rat. Psychopharmacology (Berlin) 2000;152:256 – 67.

- Currie PJ, Coscina DV. 5-Hydroxytryptaminergic receptor agonists: effects on neuropeptide Y potentiation of feeding and respiratory quotient. Brain Res 1998;803:212 – 7.
- Dinarello CA. Biology of interleukin 1. FASEB J 1988;2:108-15.
- Dunn AJ. Endotoxin-induced activation of cerebral catecholamine and serotonin metabolism: comparison with interleukin-1. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1992;261:964-9.
- Faggioni R, Fuller J, Moser A, Feingold KR, Grunfeld C. LPS-induced anorexia in leptin-deficient (ob/ob) and leptin receptor-deficient (db/db) mice. Am J Physiol 1997;273:R181-6.
- Grignaschi G, Mantelli B, Samanin R. The hypophagic effect of restraint stress in rats can be mediated by  $5-HT_2$  receptors in the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus. Neurosci Lett 1993;152:103-6.
- Grignaschi G, Sironi F, Samanin R. The  $5-HT_{1B}$  receptor mediates the effect of D-fenfluramine on eating caused by intra-hypothalamic injection of neuropeptide Y. Eur J Pharmacol 1995;274:221 – 4.
- Grignaschi G, Sironi F, Samanin R. Stimulation of  $5-HT_{2A}$  receptors in the paraventricular hypothalamus attenuates neuropeptide Y-induced hyperphagia through activation of corticotropin releasing factor. Brain Res 1996;708:173 – 6.
- Halford JC, Blundell JE. Separate systems for serotonin and leptin in appetite control. Ann Med 2000;32:222 – 32.
- Hammer VA, Gietzen DW, Beverly JL, Rogers QR. Serotonin3 receptor antagonists block anorectic responses to amino acid imbalance. Am J Physiol 1990;259:R627 – 36.
- Hewson G, Leighton GE, Hill RG, Hughes J. Ketanserin antagonises the anorectic effect of DL-fenfluramine in the rat. Eur J Pharmacol 1988; 145:227 – 30.
- Homesley HD, Hahne WF, McLees B, Heck K, Barrett RJ, Lentz SS, et al. Randomized comparison of the antiemetic efficacy of a serotonin type 3 receptor antagonist (MDL 72,222) with a high-dose metoclopramide regimen. Am J Clin Oncol 1993;16:175-9.
- Hoyer D, Clarke DE, Fozard JR, Hartig PR, Martin GR, Mylecharane EJ, et al. International Union of Pharmacology classification of receptors for 5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonin). Pharmacol Rev 1994;46: 157 – 203.
- Hrupka BJ, Langhans W. A role for serotonin in lipopolysaccharide-induced anorexia in rats. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 2001;68:355 – 62.
- Hrupka BJ, Gietzen DW, Beverly III JL. ICS 205-930 and feeding responses to amino acid imbalance: a peripheral effect? Pharmacol Biochem Behav 1991;40:83-7.
- Huang QH, Hruby VJ, Tatro JB. Role of central melanocortins in endotoxin-induced anorexia. Am J Physiol 1999;276:R864 – 71.
- Kennett GA, Curzon G. Evidence that hypophagia induced by mCPP and TFMPP requires 5-HT<sub>1C</sub> and 5-HT<sub>1B</sub> receptors; hypophagia induced by RU 24969 only requires  $5-HT_{1B}$  receptors. Psychopharmacology (Berlin) 1988;96:93 – 100.
- Kennett GA, Dourish CT, Curzon G. 5-HT<sub>1B</sub> agonists induce anorexia at a postsynaptic site. Eur J Pharmacol 1987;141:429 – 35.
- Kennett GA, Wood MD, Bright F, Trail B, Riley G, Holland V, et al. SB 242084, a selective and brain penetrant  $5-HT_{2C}$  receptor antagonist. Neuropharmacology 1997;36:609 – 20.
- Langhans W. Bacterial products and the control of ingestive behaviour: clinical implications. Nutrition 1996;12:303 – 15.
- Lawton CL, Blundell JE. 5-HT and carbohydrate suppression: effects of 5- HT antagonists on the action of D-fenfluramine and DOI. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 1993;46:349 – 60.
- Lucas JJ, Yamamoto A, Scearce-Levie K, Saudou F, Hen R. Absence of fenfluramine-induced anorexia and reduced c-Fos induction in the hypothalamus and central amygdaloid complex of serotonin 1B receptor knock-out mice. J Neurosci 1998;18:5537 – 44.
- Massi M, Marini S. Effect of the  $5HT_2$  antagonist ritanserin on food intake and on 5HT-induced anorexia in the rat. Pharmacol 1998;5537 – 44.
- MohanKumar SM, MohanKumar PS, Quadri SK. Lipopolysaccharide-induced changes in monoamines in specific areas of the brain: blockade by interleukin-1 receptor antagonist. Brain Res 1999;824:232 – 7.
- Neill JC, Cooper SJ. Evidence that D-fenfluramine anorexia is mediated by 5-HT<sub>1</sub> receptors. Psychopharmacology (Berlin) 1989;97:213-8.
- Rietschel ET, Kirikae T, Schade FU, Mamat U, Schmidt G, Loppnow H, et al. Bacterial endotoxin: molecular relationships of structure to activity and function. FASEB J 1994;8:217 – 25.
- Rinaman L. Interoceptive stress activates glucagon-like peptide-1 neurons that project to the hypothalamus. Am J Physiol 1999;277:R582 – 90.
- Rinaman L, Comer J. Antagonism of central glucagon-like peptide-1 receptors enhances lipopolysaccharide-induced fever. Auton Neurosci 2000;85:98 – 101.
- Simansky KJ. Serotonergic control of the organization of feeding and satiety. Behav Brain Res 1996;73:37 – 42.
- Sonti G, Ilyin SE, Plata-Salaman CR. Neuropeptide Y blocks and reverses interleukin-1 $\beta$ -induced anorexia in rats. Peptides 1996;17:517-20.
- Sugimoto Y, Yamada J, Yoshikawa T, Noma T, Horisaka K. Effects of peripheral  $5-HT_2$  and  $5-HT_3$  receptor agonists on food intake in fooddeprived and 2-deoxy-D-glucose-treated rats. Eur J Pharmacol 1996;  $316:15 - 21$ .
- Swiergiel AH, Dunn AJ. Lack of evidence for a role of serotonin in interleukin-1-induced hypophagia. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 2000;65:  $531 - 7.$
- Tecott LH, Sun LM, Akana SF, Strack AM, Lowenstein DH, Dallman MF, et al. Eating disorder and epilepsy in mice lacking  $5-HT_{2C}$  serotonin receptors. Nature 1995;374:542 – 6.
- Tilders FJ, DeRijk RH, Van Dam AM, Vincent VA, Schotanus K, Persoons JH. Activation of the hypothalamus – pituitary – adrenal axis by bacterial endotoxins: routes and intermediate signals. Psychoneuroendocrinology 1994;19:209 – 32.
- Vickers SP, Clifton PG, Dourish CT, Tecott LH. Reduced satiating effect of D-fenfluramine in serotonin  $5-HT_{2C}$  receptor mutant mice. Psychopharmacology (Berlin) 1999;143:309 – 14.
- Vickers SP, Benwell KR, Porter RH, Bickerdike MJ, Kennett GA, Dourish CT. Comparative effects of continuous infusion of mCPP, Ro 60-0175 and D-fenfluramine on food intake, water intake, body weight and locomotor activity in rats. Br J Pharmacol 2000;130:1305 – 14.
- Vickers SP, Dourish CT, Kennett GA. Evidence that hypophagia induced by D-fenfluramine and D-norfenfluramine in the rat is mediated by  $5-HT_{2C}$ receptors. Neuropharmacology 2001;41:200-9.
- Yang ZJ, Blaha V, Meguid MM, Laviano A, Oler A, Zadak Z. Interleukin- $1\alpha$  injection into ventromedial hypothalamic nucleus of normal rats depresses food intake and increases release of dopamine and serotonin. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 1999;62:61-5.